

Meeting Agenda - Final

Bay Area Regional Collaborative

	Chair, Jesse Arreguin, Mayor, City of Berkeley Vice Chair, Zach Wasserman, SF Bay Conservation and Development Commission	
Friday, July 21, 2023	10:00 AM	Board Room - 1st Floor

This meeting shall consist of a simultaneous teleconference call at the following location(s):

Napa County Administration Building, CEO Office – 1195 Third Street, Suite 310, Napa, CA 94559

Scott Haggerty Heritage House, 4501 Pleasanton Ave, Pleasanton, CA 94566 Mountain View City Hall, 500 Castro Street, 3rd Floor Clerks Office Conference Room, Mountain View, CA 94041

A Zoom panelist link for meeting participants will be sent separately to Committee members. Meeting attendees may opt to attend in person for public comment and observation at 375 Beale Street, Board Room (1st Floor). In-person attendees must adhere to posted public health protocols while in the building. The meeting webcast will be available at: https://barc.ca.gov/whats-happening/meetings/live-webcast. Members of the public are encouraged to participate remotely via Zoom at the following link or phone number. Committee members and members of the public participating by Zoom wishing to speak should use the "raise hand" feature or dial "*9". In order to get the full Zoom experience, please make sure your application is up to date.

Attendee Link: https://bayareametro.zoom.us/j/83635185313 Or One tap mobile: US: +13462487799,,82642783666# or +14086380968,,82642783666# Join by Telephone: (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location): US: 888 788 0099 (Toll Free) or 877 853 5247 (Toll Free) Webinar ID: 836 3518 5313

International numbers available: https://bayareametro.zoom.us/u/kborLDDAUo SIP: 83635185313@zoomcrc.com

Detailed instructions on participating via Zoom are available at: https://abag.ca.gov/zoom-information

Members of the public may participate by phone or Zoom or may submit comments by email at info@bayaremetro.gov by 5:00 p.m. the day before the scheduled meeting date. Please include the committee or board meeting name and agenda item in the subject line

The BARC Governing Board may act on any item on the agenda. The meeting is scheduled to begin at 10:00 a.m. Agenda, roster, and webcast available at https://barc.ca.gov For information, contact Clerk of the Board at (415) 778-5218.

Governing Board Members ABAG—Jesse Arreguin, David Rabbitt, Belia Ramos, Pat Eklund BAAQMD—David Haubert, Mark Ross, Margaret Abe-Koga BCDC—John Gioia, Dave Pine, Zack Wasserman, Rebecca Eisen MTC—Alfredo Pedroza, Jim Spering, Eddie Ahn, Sue Noack

1. Call to Order / Roll Call / Confirm Quorum

2. Governing Board Member Announcements

3. Chair's Report

3.a 23-0894 Chair's Report for July 21, 2023

Action: Information

Presenter: Chair Arreguin

4. Consent Calendar

4.a	<u>23-0895</u>	Approving the Minutes of the March 17th Governing Board Meeting						
	Action:	Approval						
	Presenter:	Clerk of the Board						
	<u>Attachments:</u>	Item 4.a BARC GB Minutes 20230317 Draft.pdf						
4.b	23-0897	BARC Draft Budget Fiscal Year 2023-2024						
	Action:	Approval						
	<u>Presenter:</u>	Allison Brooks						
	Attachments:	Item 4.b Draft BARC Memo and Budget Memo FY2024 07_21_23.pdf						

5. BARC Member Agency Executive Director Updates

5.a	<u>23-0898</u>	California State Coastal Conservancy report for July 21, 2023
	Action:	Information
	<u>Presenter:</u>	Amy Hutzel
5.b	<u>23-0899</u>	San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission
	Action:	Information
	Presenter:	Larry Goldzband
5.c	<u>23-0900</u>	Bay Area Air Quality Management District
	Action:	Information
	<u>Presenter:</u>	Dr. Phillip M. Fine
5.d	<u>23-0901</u>	San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board
	Action:	Information
	Presenter:	Eileen White
5.e	<u>23-0902</u>	Association of Bay Area Governments and Metropolitan Transportation Commission
	Action:	Information
	<u>Presenter:</u>	Andrew Fremier

6. BARC Executive Director's Update

 6.a
 23-0903
 Report from BARC's Executive Director

 Action:
 Information

 Presenter:
 Allison Brooks

7. Systems Mapping Project

7.a	<u>23-0904</u>	Presentation and Discussion of Systems Thinking Analyses of Climate Adaptation in SF Bay Area, Preliminary Report					
	Action:	Information					
	Presenter:	Josh Bradt and Allison Brooks, BARC					
		Matt Chadsey, Non-Linear Ventures					
		Shelley Jiang, AECOM Technical Services					
	Attachments:	Item 7.a BARC GB July presentation 20230721.pdf					
		Additional Information - June 2nd Transcript.pdf					

8. Ounce of Prevention Study

<u>23-0991</u>	Presentation of and Discussion of Ounce of Prevention: Advancing Equitable Climate Resilience Planning Report						
<u>Action:</u>	Information						
<u>Presenter:</u>	Kristopher Eclarino, Technical Project Manager, Climate Resolve Michael McCormick, President, Farallon Strategies Christina Oraftik, Project Associate, Farallon Strategies						
Attachments:	Item 8. a Ounce of Prevention PPT 7-3-2023.pdf						

9. Public Comment

10. Meeting Adjournment

The next meeting of the BARC Governing Board will be on Friday September 15, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.

The Governing Board may take action on any item listed in the agenda.

This meeting is scheduled to end promptly at 12:00 p.m. Agenda items not considered by that time may be deferred.

The public is encouraged to comment on agenda items by completing a request-to-speak card and giving it to BARC staff or the chairperson.

Although a quorum of the Governing Board may be in attendance at this meeting, the Governing Board may take action only on those matters delegated to it. The Governing Board may not take any action as the Bay Area Regional Collaborative Governing Board unless this meeting has been previously noticed as a Bay Area Regional Collaborative Governing Board meeting.

Bay Area	Metropolitan Transportation 375 Beale S San Franci							
Regional Collaborative		L	egisl	lati	ion Details	(With Text)		
File #:	23-0895	Versio	n: 1		Name:			
Туре:	Minutes				Status:	Consent		
File created:	6/5/2023				In control:	Bay Area Regional Collabo	rative	
On agenda:	7/21/2023				Final action:			
Title:	Approving th	e Minutes	of the	e Ma	arch 17th Gove	rning Board Meeting		

Action

Code sections: Attachments:

Sponsors: Indexes:

Subject:

Approving the Minutes of the March 17th Governing Board Meeting

Item 4.a BARC GB Minutes 20230317 Draft.pdf

Presenter:

Clerk of the Board

Recommended Action:

Ver.

Action By

Approval

Result



Meeting Minutes - Draft

Bay Area Regional Collaborative

Chair,Jesse Arreguin, Mayor, City of Berkeley Vice Chair, Vacant						
Friday, March 17, 2023	10:00 AM	Hybrid (In-Person Option Available)				

This meeting shall consist of a simultaneous teleconference call at the following location(s):

Napa County Administration Building, 1195 Third Street, Suite 310-Crystal Conference Room, Napa, CA 94559 Contra Costa Board of Supervisors Building, 11780 San Pablo Ave., Suite D, El Cerrito, CA

94530

Scott Haggerty Heritage House, 4501 Pleasanton Ave, Pleasanton, CA 94566

A Zoom panelist link for meeting participants will be sent separately to Committee members. Meeting attendees may opt to attend in person for public comment and observation at 375 Beale Street, Board Room (1st Floor). In-person attendees must adhere to posted public health protocols while in the building.The meeting webcast will be available at: https://barc.ca.gov/whats-happening/meetings/live-webcast. Members of the public are encouraged to participate remotely via Zoom at the following link or phone number. Committee members and members of the public participating by Zoom wishing to speak should use the "raise hand" feature or dial "*9". In order to get the full Zoom experience, please make sure your application is up to date. Attendee Link: https://bayareametro.zoom.us/j/82642783666 Or One tap mobile: US: +13462487799, 82642783666# or +14086380968, 82642783666#

Join by Telephone: (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):

US: 888 788 0099 (Toll Free) or 877 853 5247 (Toll Free)

Webinar ID: 826 4278 3666

International numbers available: https://bayareametro.zoom.us/u/kborLDDAUo SIP: 82642783666@zoomcrc.com

Detailed instructions on participating via Zoom are available at: https://abag.ca.gov/zoom-information

Members of the public may participate by phone or Zoom or may submit comments by email at info@bayaremetro.gov by 5:00 p.m. the day before the scheduled meeting date. Please include the committee or board meeting name and agenda item in the subject line.

The BARC Governing Board may act on any item on the agenda. The meeting is scheduled to begin at 10:00 a.m. Agenda, roster, and webcast available at https://barc.ca.gov For information, contact Clerk of the Board at (415) 778-5218.

Governing Board Members ABAG—Jesse Arreguin, David Hudson, David Rabbitt, Belia Ramos BAAQMD—David Haubert, John J. Bauters, Mark Ross BCDC—John Gioia, Dave Pine, Zack Wasserman, Rebecca Eisen MTC—Alfredo Pedroza, Jim Spering

1. Call to Order / Roll Call / Confirm Quorum

Vice Chair Arreguin called the meeting to order at 10:05 a.m. Quorum was achieved at 10:06 a.m

Present: 7 - Arreguin, Gioia, Ramos, Ross, Spering, Wasserman, and Eisen

Absent: 6 - Bauters, Haubert, Hudson, Pedroza, Pine, and Rabbitt

2. Governing Board Member Announcements

There were no Governing Board Member Announcements

3. Chair's Report

3.a <u>23-0331</u> Chair's Report for March 17, 2023

There was no Chair's Report

4. Consent Calendar

4.a <u>23-0332</u> Approving of the Minutes of the January 17, 2023 BARC Governing Board

Upon the motion by Arreguin and the second by Wasserman the Consent Calendar was approved. The motion passed by the following vote:

Aye: 7 - Arreguin, Gioia, Ramos, Ross, Spering, Wasserman and Eisen

Absent: 6 - Bauters, Haubert, Hudson, Pedroza, Pine and Rabbitt

4.b <u>23-0491</u> Appointment of the Vice Chair to the BARC Governing Board for the term 2023-2025

Upon the motion by Ramos and the second by Spering the appointment of Board Member Zack Wasserman to Vice Chair of the BARC Governing Board was approved.. The motion passed by the following vote:

- Aye: 7 Arreguin, Gioia, Ramos, Ross, Spering, Wasserman and Eisen
- Absent: 6 Bauters, Haubert, Hudson, Pedroza, Pine and Rabbitt

5. BARC Member Agency Executive Director Updates

- **5.a** <u>23-0334</u> Bay Area Air Quality Management District report for March 17, 2023
- 5.b <u>23-0335</u> San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board
- **5.c** <u>23-0336</u> Association of Bay Area Governments and Metropolitan Transportation
- 5.d
 23-0338
 San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission

 Jessica Fain gave the report.

6. BARC Executive Directors Update

6.a <u>23-0339</u> Report from the BARC Executive Director Allison Brooks gave the report.

7. Presentation by ABAG/MTC & BCDC

Rachael Hartofelis and Dana Brechwald gave the report.

 7.a
 23-0340
 Sea Level Rise Funding and Investment Framework Final Presentation

 Kate Hartley gave the report.

8. Presentation by BAHFA

8.a <u>23-0333</u> Overview of Bay Area Housing Finance Authority (BAHFA) and Regional Funding Measure

9. Public Comment

Joe gave public comment

10. Adjournment/Next Meeting

The meeting was adjourned at 11:43 a.m.

Metropolitan Transportation
Commission

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 23	3-0898	Version:	1	Name:		
Type: Re	eport			Status:	Informational	
File created: 6/	5/2023			In control:	Bay Area Regional Collaborative	
On agenda: 7/	21/2023			Final action:		
Title: Ca	alifornia State	Coastal Co	onser	vancy report for J	uly 21, 2023	
Sponsors:						
Indexes:						
Code sections:						
Attachments:						
Date Ve	r. Action By			Actio	n	Result

Subject:

Bay Area Regional

Collaborative

California State Coastal Conservancy report for July 21, 2023

Presenter:

Amy Hutzel

Recommended Action:

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	23-0899	Version:	1	Name:		
Туре:	Report			Status:	Informational	
File created:	6/5/2023			In control:	Bay Area Regional Collaborative	
On agenda:	7/21/2023			Final action:		
Title:	San Francisco	Bay Conse	ervatio	n and Developm	ent Commission	
Sponsors:						
Indexes:						
Code sections:						
Attachments:						
Date	Ver. Action By			Actio	on	Result

Subject:

Bay Area Regional

Collaborative

San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission

Presenter:

Larry Goldzband

Recommended Action:

Metropolitan Transportation
Commission

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	23-0900	Version:	1	Name:		
Туре:	Report			Status:	Informational	
File created:	6/5/2023			In control:	Bay Area Regional Collaborative	
On agenda:	7/21/2023			Final action:		
Title:	Bay Area Air Q	uality Mana	ageme	ent District		
Sponsors:						
Indexes:						
Code sections:						
Attachments:						
Date	Ver. Action By			Actio	n	Result

Subject:

Bay Area Regional

Collaborative

Bay Area Air Quality Management District

Presenter:

Dr. Phillip M. Fine

Recommended Action:

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	23-0901	Version:	1	Name:			
Туре:	Report			Status:	Informational		
File created:	6/5/2023			In control:	Bay Area Regional Collaborative		
On agenda:	7/21/2023			Final action:			
Title:	San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board						
Sponsors:							
Indexes:							
Code sections:							
Attachments:							
Date	Ver. Action By			Actic	n	Result	

Subject:

Bay Area Regional

Collaborative

San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board

Presenter:

Eileen White

Recommended Action:

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	23-0902	2	Version:	1	Name:		
Туре:	Report				Status:	Informational	
File created:	6/5/2023	3			In control:	Bay Area Regional Collaborative	
On agenda:	7/21/202	23			Final action:		
Title:	Association of Bay Area Governments and Metropolitan Transportation Commission						
Sponsors:							
Indexes:							
Code sections:							
Attachments:							
Date	Ver. Act	tion By			Acti	on	Result

Subject:

Bay Area Regional

Collaborative

Association of Bay Area Governments and Metropolitan Transportation Commission

Presenter:

Andrew Fremier

Recommended Action:

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	23-0903	Version:	1	Name:		
Туре:	Report			Status:	Informational	
File created:	6/5/2023			In control:	Bay Area Regional Collaborative	
On agenda:	7/21/2023			Final action:		
Title:	Report from BARC's Executive Director					
Sponsors:						
Indexes:						
Code sections:						
Attachments:						
Date	Ver. Action By			A	Action	Result

Subject:

Bay Area Regional

Collaborative

Report from BARC's Executive Director

Presenter:

Allison Brooks

Recommended Action:

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	23-09	904	Version:	1	Name:		
Туре:	Repo	ort			Status:	Informational	
File created:	6/5/2	023			In control:	Bay Area Regional Collaborative	
On agenda:	7/21/	2023			Final action:		
Title:	Presentation and Discussion of Systems Thinking Analyses of Climate Adaptation in SF Bay Area, Preliminary Report						
Sponsors:							
Indexes:							
Code sections:							
Attachments:	Item 7.a BARC GB July presentation 20230721.pdf						
	Additional Information - June 2nd Transcript.pdf						
Date	Ver.	Action By			Actio	on Re	esult

Subject:

Bay Area Regional

Collaborative

Presentation and Discussion of Systems Thinking Analyses of Climate Adaptation in SF Bay Area, Preliminary Report

Presenter:

Josh Bradt and Allison Brooks, BARC Matt Chadsey, Non-Linear Ventures Shelley Jiang, AECOM Technical Services **Recommended Action:** Information



BARC Systems Mapping

Observations, Challenges, and Opportunities

Matt Chadsey, Nonlinear Ventures Shelley Jiang, AECOM



A note on accessibility

This document has been created with accessibility in mind. If you require any assistance interpreting technical images, please contact Mimi Heft at <u>mheft@bayareametro.gov</u>.





Agenda

- 1. Objectives and our approach a quick refresher
- 2. Baseline distinctions
- 3. Observations, challenges, and opportunities
- 4. Areas for further analysis

Project Objectives



Map the Existing System

Delineate how **BARC member** agencies and state and federal partners currently address climate resilience and adaptation



Identify Challenges & Opportunities

Identify gaps, overlaps, and areas for improvement to support regional adaptation planning and implementation




Lay the Groundwork

Consider next steps to help advance adaptation planning and technical assistance in the region




Our Approach





Why system maps?

- Illustrate complex relationships
- Provide more accessible content
- Make clear distinctions
- Tell a story with structure






Baseline Distinctions

Baseline Distinctions: Adaptation

"Changes in processes, practices, and structures to moderate potential damages or to benefit from opportunities associated with climate change."





Baseline Distinctions: Hazards

Wildfire / Air Quality



Photo: Cal FIRE

Coastal Flooding



Photo: Port of San Francisco

Inland Flooding



Photo: Sonoma County

Water Quality



Photo: City of Palo Alto

Drought




Photo: USGS - Rick Myrick

Nonlinear Ventures

Extreme Heat

What is this? An Excessive Heat Warning means that...

- Hot conditions could cause lifethreatening impacts or major impacts to commerce or travel.
- There is a high to very high risk for much of the population due to long duration heat with little to no overnight relief
- Prepare for dangerous heat, limit outdoor activities.

Image: National Weather Service



Baseline Distinctions: Impacts

Service Interruptions

Emergency Services Energy Government Operations Medical / Emergency Facilities Ports / Shipping Schools Telecommunications Transportation Water / Storm Water Systems Water Supply **Agriculture / Marine Loss**

Crop / Fishery Failure Reduced Production

Structural Damage

Commercial Critical

Residential

Human Well-Being

Acute Injury / Death

Chronic Illness

Reduced Mental Health

Community Well-Being

Decreased Tourism Food Access / Security Housing Shortage Population Migration Reduced Access to Cultural Resources Reduced Access Parks / Open Space Reduced Well-Being / Equity

Reduced Water Supply/Quality

Hazardous Material Release

Reduced Potable Water Capture

Seawater Intrusion

Ecosystem Damage

Erosion

Estuary Function


Land Inundation

Landslides

Reduced Species Health



Observations, Challenges, Opportunities



Most agencies are incorporating adaptation in their work

Agencies are moving adaptation from an add-on activity to a fully integrated part of their programs

Federal

Commerce - NOAA U.S. EPA Health & Human Services **Homeland Security** - FEMA Housing & Urban Dev Dept. of the Interior - USGS - US Fish and Wildlife Transportation **US Army** - Corps of Engineers USDA - Agricultural Research Service - Forest Service - Nat Res Conservation Service

<u>State</u>


Office of Emergency Services CalEPA - Air Resources Board - State Water Resources Control Board Food and Agriculture Health and Human Services - Community Services and Development -**Dept. of General Services** - Building Standards Commission Industrial Relations - CalOSHA Office of Policy and Research (OPR) Public Health **Public Utilities Commission** Strategic Growth Council Caltrans

State (continued)

Natural Resources Agency

- BCDC
- State Coastal Commission
- California Coastal Conservancy
- Conservation
- Delta Conservancy
- Delta Stewardship Council
- Energy Commission
- Energy Infrastructure Safety
- Fish and Wildlife
- Forestry and Fire Protection
- Ocean Protection Council
- Parks and Recreation
- State Lands Commission
- Water Resources

Agencies engaged in sea level rise adaptation



Color Kev

Federal

State

BARC*

Other

Outcome

Activity

Key observations:


BARC members are highly engaged

Partnering builds knowledge and fosters innovation

Coordination is expensive in time, staff, and money

Burden on project owners to determine how to connect

Agencies engaged in wildfire and related air quality adaptation



Key observation:

Most wildfire adaptation occurs through state and local agencies.

Is there a bigger role for regional entities?

Funding can be piecemeal

Example Funding Sources: San Francisco Estuary Partnership

Delta Stewardship Council

Department Water Resources

IRWMP

Local Partner Match

Measure AA

Other bonds (various)

Proposition 1 Water Bond

Proposition 84

Regional Water Board

State Coastal Conservancy

US EPA

A wide array of funding is being allocated to adaptation


Funding constraints may dictate how projects are planned and implemented

Application / reporting logistics are complex – with disadvantaged communities and smaller organizations facing the most challenges

How can we reduce the funding complexity while increasing scale?

Nonlinear Ventures

Funding mechanisms are often complex in themselves (SFBRA Example)



Governance structure fosters collaboration and oversight, but is also resource intensive

Multiple funding programs and hybrid staffing model

Can this type of structure scale sufficiently to meet needs?

Nonlinear Ventures

Projects that cross jurisdictions and authorities are especially challenging, and necessary






Photo by Sonoma Land Trust

An ecosystem-scale project with:


- At least 7 regulatory / resource agencies
- 4 counties and multiple transit agencies
- SR-37 Baylands Group (25+ members)

Narrow stakeholder authorities can limit innovation

Positive example of engagement/coordination – but how will this approach scale across many large-scale projects and geographies?



SR-37 & San Pablo Bay Stakeholders



Color Key Federal State BARC* Other Outcome Activity

Staff capacity is strained at all levels as adaptation pace and complexity increases



Photo: BayCAN Meeting

Complex relationship between adaptation, emergency response, and 'traditional' work

Expertise gaps are slowing adaptation (e.g., foresters, multi-hazard / systems experts)

High-energy advocates are vital, and burned out

How can we build capacity and support our staff experts?



Community engagement is resource-intensive for all




Photo: Planning commission meeting in Campbell, California. Wikimedia Commons (CC BY-SA 4.0)

Community participation is desired throughout planning process

Demand on community leaders and members is high, and increasing

Burden is pronounced for economically disadvantaged and underrepresented communities

What does sustainable engagement look like as demand increases?



Technical Assistance

Services provided to help intended audiences have the knowledge, ability, or capacity to achieve specific goals and outcomes related to climate adaptation.

Providers

State/Regional Agencies

Regional Support Organizations (e.g. SFEI, CBOs)

Services that Support Adaptation

Sponsorship

Partnership to strengthen project

Capacity

Functional support for training or project logistics to enable sponsor to take on a project.

Guidance

Insight into project design, compliance or approval

Knowledge

Technical knowledge and data about project components and/or ecosystems

Capability

Access to tools and models to enhance analysis and implementation ability. Recipients

Project Owners

Regional and Local Planners

Nonlinear Ventures

High-touch technical assistance is in demand, but hard to supply



Photo: Cal FIRE


Technical assistance (TA) can be difficult to find / access

Resources are often not at the right level (e.g., too general or too technical)

Some TA is patching underlying complexity that could be resolved at the source

Multi-disciplinary TA is useful to propel innovative projects

How can we maximize the benefit of TA to accelerate implementation?





Areas for Further Analysis

Key Questions

- 1. What is the region's holistic, <u>multi-hazard</u> adaptation vision (near- and long-term)?
- 2. What roles and activities are <u>best-suited</u> for regional agencies to achieve this vision?
- 3. How will we secure and apply <u>robust</u>, <u>consistent funding</u> for <u>priority projects</u> based on capacity and data?
- 4. How will staff and community capacity grow quickly and sustainably?
- 5. What changes are needed to <u>accelerate implementation</u> in pace and magnitude?
- 6. What process metrics and reporting are needed to know what's working, or not?





Thank you

BAY AREA REGIONAL COLLABORATIVE Meeting Transcript



Bay Area **Regional** Collaborative Meeting Transcript

JUNE 2, 2023

1	BAY AREA REGIONAL COLLABORATIVE GOVERNING BOARD -
2	SPECIAL MEETING
3	FRIDAY, JUNE 2^{ND} , 2023, 10:00 AM
4	
5	
6	CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: GOOD MORNING I'M BERKELEY MAYOR JESSE A
7	CHAIR OF THE BAY AREA REGIONAL COLLABORATIVE AND I WOULD LIKE
8	TO CALL TO ORDER THIS SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BARC GOVERNING
9	BOARD AND ASK STAFF TO PLAY THE COVID-19 MEETING ANNOUNCEMENT
10	RECORDING. OH WE'RE NO LONGER IN A STATE OF EMERGENCY SO WE
11	DON'T NEED THE COVID-19 RECORDING. THERE IS INFORMATION I
12	WOULD LIKE TO READ BEFORE I CALL THE MEETING TO ORDER.
13	
14	CLERK, SARINA SEATON: THANK YOU. BEFORE WE BEGIN THERE ARE A
15	FEW HOUSEKEEPING ITEMS JUST ABOVE THE CONTROL ROOM YOU WILL
16	SEE THE ON AIR SIGN WHEN THE SIGN IS ILLUMINATED WE'RE IN
17	SESSION THE ON AIR SIGN WILL TURN OFF AS WE TRANSITION BETWEEN
18	MEETINGS FOR MEMBERS PARTICIPATING FROM TELECONFERENCE
19	LOCATIONS. THE ZOOM WEBINAR IS NOW LIVE THE MEETING IS BEING
20	WEBCAST ON THE MTC WEB SITE. MEMBERS PARTICIPATING BY ZOOM
21	WISHING TO SPEAK SHOULD USE THE RAISED HAND FEATURE OR DIAL
22	STAR NINE AND YOU WILL BE CALLED TO SPEAK. DURING PUBLIC
23	COMMENT MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC PARTICIPATING BY ZOOM WISHING TO
24	SPEAK SHOULD USE I ALREADY SAID THAT. [LAUGHTER] THIS WILL
25	BE CALLED UPON BY THE LAST FOUR DIGITS OF THEIR PHONE NUMBER



IN-PERSON MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC SHOULD FORM A LINE NEAR THE
 PODIUM THE CLERK WILL CALL ON MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO SPEAK
 BECAUSE THERE ARE TELECONFERENCE LOCATIONS ROLL CALL FOR
 QUORUM AND VOTING ON MOTIONS WILL BE TAKEN. THANK YOU

5

CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: THANK YOU THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WILL 6 NOT CALL AT THIS TIME TO ESTABLISH QUORUM. THERE IS AN 7 8 INFORMATION ITEM ARE THERE ANY MEMBERS OF THE BARC GOVERNING BOARD WHO WISH TO MAKE AN ANNOUNCEMENT SEEING NONE. PUBLIC 9 COMMENT ON THIS ITEM? SEEING NONE. PROCEEDING TO ITEM THREE, 10 THE CHAIR'S REPORT. I WANT TO THANK MY COLLEAGUES FOR 11 PARTICIPATING IN-PERSON AND THOSE ON ZOOM AND HOPEFULLY WE'LL 12 ESTABLISH QUORUM SOON AS WE DO NEED TO TAKE ACTION ON THE 13 ADOPTION OF THE ANNUAL BUDGET. BUT WE DO HAVE AN IMPORTANT 14 CONVERSATION LATER ON FROM THE SYSTEMS MAPPING PROJECT WHICH 15 16 WAS PART OF THE BROADER, SORT OF, COLLABORATIVE EFFORT THAT WE HAVE ESTABLISHED TO BRING OUR AGENCIES TOGETHER TO LOOK AT HOW 17 WE CAN ADDRESS CLIMATE ADAPTATION AND RESILIENCE IN THE SAN 18 FRANCISCO BAY AREA. SO LOOK FORWARD TO THAT PRESENTATION AND 19 DISCUSSION. AND WE WILL TRY TO KEEP THIS MEETING RELATIVELY 20 SHORT OUT OF RESPECT TO EVERYONE'S TIME. BUT WE APPRECIATE YOU 21 BEING HERE, AS WELL. SO, UNLESS THERE IS ANY QUESTIONS ON MY 22 REPORT AND SEEING NO PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CHAIR'S REPORT, WE 23 CAN PROCEED TO THE NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS, AND WITH VICE CHAIR 24 25 WASSERMAN HERE, DO WE HAVE -- NO -- QUORUM? NO. SO WE'LL SKIP



ITEM FOUR THE CONSENT CALENDAR AND WE'LL COME BACK TO THAT.
 WE'LL MOVE NOW TO ITEM FIVE, THE BARC AGENCY MEMBER AGENCY
 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR UPDATES. THESE ARE INFORMATION ITEMS. WE'LL
 NOW HEAR FROM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTORS OF OUR MEMBER AGENCIES
 AND THE FIRST IS THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY CONSERVATION AND
 DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION. DO WE HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE FROM BCDC
 HERE TODAY?

8

STEVE GOLDBECK: GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE. STEVE GOLDBECK. LARRY 9 GOLDZBAND SENDS HIS REGRETS THAT HE WON'T ABLE TO JOIN US THIS 10 MORNING. HE HAD ANOTHER ENGAGEMENT THAT HE COULDN'T AVOID SO 11 YOU GOT ME. AND I HAVE A FEW THINGS I WOULD LIKE TO SHARE IF I 12 MIGHT. LET'S SEE. FIRST OFF AS WE BRIEFED THE BOARD IN APRIL, 13 BCDC, MTC, AND ABAG IS SOON TO RELEASE THE SEA LEVEL RISE 14 FUNDING FINANCING INVESTMENT FRAMEWORK. A MAP OF THE EXISTING 15 16 PROPOSED SEA LEVEL RISE PROJECT ACROSS THE REGION WAS USED TO DEVELOP COST ESTIMATES IN FRAMEWORK IS NOW LIVE AND I CAN SHOW 17 YOU THE LINK IF YOU WOULD LIKE. BCDC IS WELCOME INVITATIONS TO 18 PRESENT THE REPORT TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND OTHER GROUPS TO 19 SHARE WHAT IT MEANS FOR THE LOCAL REGION AND OTHER LOCAL 20 JURISDICTIONS AS WELL AS TO UPDATE ON YOU WHAT WE'RE DOING 21 22 WITH THE BAY ADAPT WORK. WE'RE ALSO EXPLORING STRATEGIES FOR 23 HOW THE REGION CAN WORK TOGETHER TO ATTRACT, PLAN, AND DISTRIBUTE FUNDING FOR ADAPTATION PROJECTS WHICH WE LOOK 24 FORWARD TO DISCUSS WITH YOU AT A FUTURE MEETING HERE. I 25

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.



SUGGEST YOU CONTACT LARRY GOLDZBAND BAND OR PLANNING DIRECTOR 1 JESSICA PAYNE IF YOU WANT TO SET UP A PRESENTATION TO YOUR 2 3 GROUP. AND IN ANOTHER MATTER, WE HAVE ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ADVISERS AS WE TALKED ABOUT BEFORE, THE ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE 4 5 ADVISER PROGRAM JUST COMPLETED ITS SECOND YEAR. IT WAS DEVELOPED AFTER THE CREATION OF OUR ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE AND 6 SOCIAL EOUITY POLICIES, I BELIEVE THAT WAS IN 2019. AND BCDC 7 8 CREATED THE PROGRAM BECAUSE WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE WERE ACTUALLY INVOLVING LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOLKS IN 9 IMPLEMENTING AND FURTHER REFINING OUR WORK ON THIS TOPIC. SO, 10 WE SET UP THIS ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE PROGRAM, WHICH -- OF 11 ADVISERS -- WHICH TO OUR KNOWLEDGE IS FAIRLY UNIQUE AND WAS 12 CODEVELOPED WITH THE ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ADVISORS. OVER THE 13 LAST TWO YEARS WE HAVE BEEN SUCCESSFUL WITH DEVELOPING OUR 14 15 RACIAL EOUITY ACTION PLAN AND ADVISING US ON HOW TO CREATE 16 MORE EQUITABLE PERMITTING PROCESS AND SUPPORTING OUR BAY ADAPT WORK. AND NOW EJ ADVISERS ARE PLANNING TOXIC TOURS FOR BCDC 17 STAFF AND COMMISSIONERS AROUND THE BAY SHORELINE TO LOOK AT 18 VARIOUS SITES AND ALSO WILL BE UNDERGOING AN ORGANIZATIONAL 19 DEVELOPMENT PROCESS THIS FALL TO EVALUATE NEXT STEPS AND TO 20 21 HELP IMPROVE THE PROGRAM AND MAKE IT EVEN BETTER. AND LASTLY, HOWARD TERMINAL, A PART OF OAKLAND, I AM SURE YOU READ IN 22 APRIL THE OAKLAND AS ANNOUNCED THEY HAD ENTERED INTO A BINDING 23 AGREEMENT TO PURCHASE A SITE FOR THE BALLPARK IN LAS VEGAS, 24 AND THE CITY OF OAKLAND THE HOWARD TERMINAL CAME BEFORE BCDC 25



LAST YEAR AND CONSIDERED VOTING TO AMEND THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY 1 PLAN TO REMOVE PORT PRIORITY USE DESIGNATION AT HOWARD 2 3 TERMINAL THAT RESTRICTED USE TO THE PORT OF OAKLAND BCDC ACTION RELATED TO HOWARD TERMINAL GUIDED ASSEMBLY BILL 1191 4 5 WHICH I BELIEVE WAS ENACTED IN 2019 AND INCLUDES PROVISION REGARDING PORT PRIORITY DESIGNATION. IT STATES IN PART, THE 6 PORT OF OAKLAND THE OAKLAND AS DO NOT ENTER INTO A BINDING 7 8 AGREEMENT FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE OAKLAND SPORTS MIXED USE PROJECT BY BEGINNING OF 2025, OR IF THE AGREEMENT IN PLACE IS 9 SEQUENTIAL TERMINATED BEFORE THE CONSTRUCTION COMMENCES. AND 10 THE PORT YOUTH DISCUSSION AUTOMATICALLY REINSTATED AT HOWARD 11 TERMINAL PROPERTY. WE DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN. WE'LL 12 BE TRACKING AS THIS MOVES FORWARD. THAT'S ALL I HAVE THIS 13 14 MORNING.

15

16 CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: ANY QUESTIONS FOR BCDC?

17

ZACK WASSERMAN: NOT A OUESTION, JUST A PIECE OF ADDITIONAL 18 INFORMATION. I'M NOT SURE AFFECTED ALL OF OUR JURISDICTIONS 19 BUT HAS IMPACT. AS YOU MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE FOLLOWED, THE PORT 20 21 OF SAN FRANCISCO REQUESTED THE LEGISLATURE TO MAKE SOME FINDINGS ABOUT PUBLIC TRUST FOR A PERSPECTIVE OFFICE PROJECT 22 ON PIERS THIRD 32, WHICH HAVE LONG BEEN A SUBJECT OF 23 DIFFICULTY AND TROUBLE AND THE LEGISLATURE HIS HAS TWICE ACTED 24 ON THOSE PIERS IN THE PAST, ONCE FOR A WARRIORS STADIUM THAT 25



DID NOT PROCEED, NEITHER OF THOSE PROCEEDED. BCDC STAFF HAVE 1 BEEN IN SIGNIFICANT NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE PORT WITH DEVELOPERS 2 3 INVOLVED AND SENATOR WIENER'S OFFICE WHO SPONSORED THE MEASURE WENT AS IT WENT THROUGH THE SENATE, AGREED ON SOME AMENDMENTS 4 5 WITH THE PORT CLOSELY ON SOME SAN FRANCISCO WATERFRONT PLAN ISSUES AND THE COMMISSION DID YESTERDAY TAKE A NEUTRAL 6 POSITION IF AMENDED. IT HAS BEEN AGREED BY ALL PARTIES BUT 7 8 PROBABLY THE STRUGGLE THAT WAS NECESSARY NONETHELESS ULTIMATELY A GOOD RESULT THAT I THINK IS IMPORTANT THE PIECE 9 OF LEGISLATION THAT WE REQUESTED BEING PUT IN WILL BE PUT INTO 10 AUTHORIZE A JOINT STUDY BETWEEN BCDC AND STATE LANDS 11 COMMISSION OVER PUBLIC TRUST GUIDANCE IN CONTEXT OF RISING SEA 12 LEVEL AND THE CRUX OF THAT ISSUE FRANKLY IS THE HUGE GAP IN 13 DOLLARS FROM WHAT WE CAN ENVISION RAISING FROM VARIOUS SOURCES 14 AND THE MONEY WE NEED TO ADAPT SUCCESSFULLY TO RISING SEA 15 16 LEVEL IT'S LIKELY WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO GET SOME OF THE MONEY FROM COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT AND WE NEED SOME GUIDANCE ON THAT 17 RATHER THAT DOING ONE OFF LEGISLATIVE BILLS AND I THINK THAT 18 THIS WILL MOVE FORWARD IN THE ASSEMBLY IT WILL BE AMENDED I 19 THINK. ULTIMATELY PRODUCTIVE. 20

21

22 CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?
23 SEEING NONE. WE CAN MOVE TO THE NEXT MEMBER AGENCY REPORT
24 WHICH IS FROM THE BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT. WE



3

JUNE 2, 2023

HAVE GREG NUDD FROM BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
 BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT HERE TODAY.

GREG NUDD: GREG NUDD DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF SCIENCE AND 4 5 POLICY FOR THE AIR DISTRICT, PHILIPPE FINE SENDS APOLOGIES FOR NOT BEING ABLE TO BE HERE TODAY. UPDATE ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE 6 PROCESS OF HIRING DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER POSITIONS. HAVE 7 8 OPENED OUTREACH ONGOING SCHEDULED TO CLOSE JUNE 23RD INCLUDING DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION, DEPUTY 9 OFFICER OF ENGINEERING COMPLIANCE AND DEPUTY EXECUTIVE 10 DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS. SO, LOOKING FORWARD TO GETTING 11 THAT HELP IN THE AGENCY. TWO POLICY ISSUES, THE IMPLEMENTATION 12 WORKING GROUP FOR OUR ZERO NOX BUILDING APPLIANCE RULES AND 13 THE SECOND EPA CLIMATE POLLUTION REDUCTION GRANT PLANNING 14 EFFORT SO AS YOU KNOW MARCH 25TH, 2023 THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS 15 16 OF THE AIR DISTRICT PASSED AMENDMENTS TO OUR BUILDING APPLIANCE RULES WHICH ADDRESSED NITROGEN OXIDE EMISSIONS FROM 17 FURNACES AND WATER HEATERS THESE MATTERS INTRODUCED A ZERO 18 NITROGEN OXIDE STANDARD THAT WILL BE ENFORCED AT THE POINT OF 19 SALE THESE ARE THE FIRST STANDARDS IN THIS COUNTRY AND WILL 20 21 PHASE IN OVER TIME STARTING WITH WATER HEATERS IN 2027. WHILE RULES OF TECHNOLOGY ARE NEUTRAL CONFIDENTIAL ONLY ELECTRIC 22 APPLIANCES MEET THE 0 NOX REQUIREMENTS. ONCE FULLY IMPLEMENTED 23 WE EXPECT THE RULES TO HAVE SIGNIFICANT HEALTH BENEFITS 24 AVOIDING AS MANY AS 85 PREMATURE DEATHS PER YEAR AND AVOIDING 25



AS MUCH AS \$890 MILLION A YEAR IN HEALTH IMPACTS INCLUDING 1 HART ATTACKS STROKES AND LUNG CANCER, ASTHMA SYMPTOMS ONSET 2 3 AND MISSED SCHOOL AND WORK. AS WE ANALYZE THE IMPACT OF THIS REGULATION WE FOND OUT THAT ACROSS THE BAY AREA COMMUNITIES OF 4 5 COLOR OF THOSE WHO ARE PRIMARILY IMPACTED OR DISPROPORTIONATELY IMPACTED BY THESE EMISSIONS FROM APPLIANCES 6 7 THEREFORE MOST LIKELY TO BENEFIT FROM THESE RULES. ASSUMING 8 ELECTRIC HEAT PUMPS ARE THE PRIMARY TECHNOLOGY USED WE EXPECT TO HAVE SIGNIFICANT GREENHOUSE GAS REDUCTIONS FROM THIS RULE. 9 WE'RE HAPPY WITH THE HEALTH EQUITY BENEFITS OF THE RULE THERE 10 ARE IMPORTANT CHALLENGES SUCH AS AVAILABILITY OF TECHNOLOGIES 11 UP FRONT COST OF PURCHASING THESE ELECTRONIC APPLIANCES COST 12 OF INSTALLATION WHICH COULD INCLUDE ELECTRIC UPGRADES TO THE 13 BUILDING WORKFORCES READINESS AND ENSURES ENOUGH INSTALLERS 14 ARE WELL VERSED IN THESE TECHNOLOGIES OF COURSE ELECTRIC GRID 15 16 RELIABILITY, TRANSITION IS NEEDED TO EQUITABLE ACCESS INCENTIVE FUNDING AND FINANCING PROGRAMS FOR IMPACT TO 17 DIFFERENT STAKEHOLDER GROUPS SPECIFICALLY FOR COMMUNITIES AND 18 19 SENIORS AND LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES AND SMALL BUSINESSES. WE HAVE CONVENED AN IMPLEMENTATION WORKING GROUP THAT PROVIDE AIR 20 21 DISTRICT INFORMATION RECOMMENDATIONS AND SOLUTIONS TO 22 CHALLENGES TO SUPPORT SMOOTH ACCESSIBLE EQUITABLE TRANSITION 23 TO IMPLEMENTATION OF THESE AMENDMENTS THERE ARE APPROXIMATELY 40 PEOPLE FROM A WIDE VARIETY OF STAKEHOLDER GROUPS INCLUDING 24 25 UTILITY ENERGY SERVICE, CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES



COMMISSION, CALIFORNIA RESOURCES BOARD. LOCAL AND REGIONAL 1 GOVERNMENTS INCENTIVE PROGRAM ADMINISTRATORS LABOR UNIONS AND 2 3 CONTRACTORS. THE WORKING GROUP WILL MEET REGULARLY TO DEVELOP REGULATIONS AND TRACK READINESS AND ACCESSIBILITY AS WE 4 5 APPROACH THE UPCOMING COMPLIANCE DATES. SECOND POLICY INITIATIVE I WANT TO TALK ABOUT TODAY IS EPA CLIMATE POLLUTION 6 REDUCTION GRANT GENERAL PLANNING EFFORT SOMETHING THAT CAME 7 8 OUT OF INFLATION REDUCTION ACT. THE EPA CLIMATE POLLUTION 9 REDUCTION GRANT PROGRAM IS FUNDED THROUGH THE INFLATION REDUCTION ACT AND PROVIDES SUPPORT FOR THE STATE REGIONAL 10 11 CLIMATE ACTION PLANS AND IMPLEMENTATION. THERE IS \$4.6 BILLION FOR CLIMATE ACTION AVAILABLE BUT REGIONAL STATE CLIMATE 12 PLANNING IS REQUIRED IN ORDER TO QUALIFY FOR IMPLEMENTATION 13 FUNDING. THROUGH THIS PROGRAM THE BAY AREA METROPOLITAN REGION 14 THE BAY AREA WAS DIVIDED INTO TWO REGIONS WHAT WE'RE CALLING 15 16 THE BAY AREA METROPOLITAN REGION INCLUDES ALAMEDA CONTRA COSTA, SAN FRANCISCO AND SAN MATEO AND WOULD BE AWARDED NON-17 18 COMPETITIVE \$1 MILLION CLIMATE PLANNING GRANT TO DEVELOP THE 19 REGIONAL CLIMATE ACTION PLAN THAT SPECIFIES PRIORITY IMPLEMENTATION MEASURES. OUR REGIONAL CLIMATE PLANNING EFFORT 20 WILL COORDINATE WITH THE NEIGHBORING INVEST SANTA CLARA COUNTY 21 22 AND STATEWIDE EFFORT LED BY CARB. IN 2024EPA WILL RELEASE 4.6 23 BILLION IN NATIONALLY COMPETITIVE FUNDS TO SUPPORT IMPLEMENTATION OF PROJECTS THAT FALL UNDER PRIORITY MEASURES 24 IDENTIFIED IN THE CLIMATE PLANS. THE AIR DISTRICT IS LEADING 25



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

THE REGIONAL EFFORT FOR THE NORTH SIDE OF THE BAY AND HAS CREATED AN ADVISORY WORKING GROUP INCLUDING FIVE COUNTIES, THE CITIES OF SAN FRANCISCO, OAKLAND, AND BERKELEY, BARC, MTC, ABAG, AND MAJOR PARTNER BAYREN. ADVISORY GROUP WILL COORDINATE INPUT FROM ALL CITIES AND ADVANCE IN PLANNING EFFORT. THIS PROCESS WILL LEVERAGE CLIMATE ACTION PLANS ACROSS THE REGION BOTH AT LOCAL STATE AND REGIONAL LEVELS AND FOCUS ON MEASURES AT THE REGIONAL SCALE. ALSO IDENTIFY AND LIFT UP PRIORITIES OF LOW-INCOME AND DISADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES BUILDING UPON STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT THAT WAS ONGOING OR WAS RECENTLY CONDUCTED FOR CLIMATE ACTION PLAN RELATED EFFORTS AND SOME ADDITIONAL TARGETED ENGAGEMENT IS NEEDED. WE WILL FACILITATE

JUNE 2, 2023

13 LOCAL GOVERNMENTS IN NAPA AND SOLANO COUNTIES TO CONNECT WITH
14 STATEWIDE EFFORT TO ACCESS NATIONALLY COMPETITIVE
15 IMPLEMENTATION FUNDS. THAT'S MY UPDATE FOR TODAY. HAPPY TO
16 TAKE QUESTIONS THE BOARD MAY HAVE.

17

18 CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THERE ARE 19 QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? SEEING NONE HERE IN THE BOARDROOM. ARE 20 THERE MEMBERS ON ZOOM WHO HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? 21 OKAY. IF NOT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH MR. NUDD FOR BEING HERE 22 TODAY AND FOR YOUR REPORT. WE'LL PROCEED TO THE REPORT FROM 23 THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD. 24 AND EILEEN WHITE THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER.



1

2

EILEEN WHITE: GOOD MORNING.

JUNE 2, 2023

3 CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: GOOD MORNING. 4 5 SPEAKER: I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE RECENT SPROUT DECISION ISSUED MAY 25TH THAT ADDRESSES THE SCOPE OF THE CLEAN WATER 6 ACT AND ADDRESSES THE VISIBILITY TO PROTECT THOUSANDS OF MILES 7 8 OF RIVERS STREAMS CREEKS AND ADJACENT WETLANDS THROUGHOUT THE WESTERN UNITED STATES. IT'S IMPORTANT TO TALK ABOUT HOW IT 9 IMPACTS CALIFORNIA. WE'RE REALLY DISAPPOINTED IN THE DECISION 10 AT THE STATE BOARDS AND THE REGIONAL BOARDS THE ADVERSE 11 IMPACTS THAT IT WILL HAVE NATIONALLY. FORTUNATELY HERE IN 12 CALIFORNIA WE HAVE THE PORT OF COLOGNE ACT ALTHOUGH IT NARROWS 13 THE SCOPE OF FEDERAL JURISDICTION IT DOES NOT WEAKEN 14 15 CALIFORNIA'S MORE STRINGENT WETLANDS PROTECTIONS. UNDER THE 16 POVERTY COLOGNE ACT THE STATE WATER BOARD RETAINS REGULATORY AUTHORITY FOR THE PROTECTING OF WATER QUALITY NEARLY 1.6 17 MILLION ACRES OF LAKES, 1.3 MILLION ACRES OF ESTUARIES AND 18 200,000 MILES OF RIVERS AND STREAMS AND 1,000 MILES OF 19 COASTLINE. IN THIS SPROUT DECISION SACKETT VERSUS EPA THE 20 21 COURT HELD THAT FOR WETLANDS TO BE PROTECTED UNDER FEDERAL LAW 22 IT MUST BE DISTINGUISHED FROM THE WATER OF THE U.S. TO WHICH 23 IT IS CONNECTED WHEN YOU CAN'T TELL WHERE ONE BEGINS AND ANOTHER ENDS CAN BE PROBLEMATIC IN CALIFORNIA WITH THE 24 MEDITERRANEAN CLIMATE FORTUNATELY WE'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO 25



Collaborative Meeting Transcript

JUNE 2, 2023

USE THE PORT OF COLOGNE TO PROTECT OUR WETLANDS BUT IT WILL 1 DEPRIVE US OF THE EXPERTISE THAT WAS PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED BY 2 3 THE CORP OF ENGINEERS AND IS GOING TO AFFECT OUR NEIGHBORING STATES AND OUR LEGALLY TEAM IS CONTINUING TO REVIEW IT IN 4 5 DETAIL BUT WE'RE VERY HAPPY WE LIVE IN CALIFORNIA AS THE CLEAN WATER ACT IS BEING VERY NARROW AND THE AUTHORITY TO PROTECT 6 THE WETLANDS THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES AND THEN JUST THE 7 8 OTHER THING I WANTED TO UPDATE, OUR PARTNERS ARE MONITOR SAN FRANCISCO BAY AFTER WE HAD OUR WORST ENVIRONMENTAL DISASTER IN 9 THE BAY LAST SUMMER WITH THE ALGAE BLOOM AND THE DEATH OF 10 THOUSANDS OF FISH WE'RE SEEING DIFFERENT CONDITIONS IN THE BAY 11 RUNOFF AND SEDIMENT WE'RE CAUTIOUSLY OPTIMISTIC BUT WE'RE IN 12 UNCHARTERED TERRITORY AS WE'RE DEALING WITH CLIMATE CHANGE BUT 13 WE'RE HOPING WITH THE MAY FOG WE HAVE SEEN THAT WE WILL NOT 14 15 SEE WHAT OCCURRED BUT WE COULD SEE IT COME JULY, AUGUST, OR 16 SEPTEMBER, THAT WE DON'T HAVE ANOTHER HARMFUL ALGAE BLOOM AND MASSIVE FISH KILLING IN SAN FRANCISCO BAY BUT WE'RE CONTINUING 17 TO DO EXTENSIVE MONITORS AND STUDIES LESSONS LEARNED FROM LAST 18 SUMMER. THAT'S MY REPORT. THANK YOU. 19

20

CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: THANK YOU. IS THERE ANY QUESTIONS OR 21 22 COMMENTS ON THE REPORT? ANY MEMBERS ON ZOOM? SEEING NONE. 23 THANK YOU MISS WHITE FOR YOUR REPORT AND JOINING US THIS MORNING. WE'LL PROCEED TO A REPORT FROM ABAG AND MTC DEPUTY 24 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BRAD PAUL UPON GIVE THE REPORT. 25



1

2

3 AND ELECTED OFFICIALS TO ADDRESS THE UPCOMING TRANSIT FISCAL CLIFF ON MAY 22ND ABAG AND MTC DELEGATION LED BY CHAIR ALFREDO 4 5 P CHAIR AND NICK J TRAVELED TO SACRAMENTO TO ADVOCATE FOR INCLUSION OF TRANSIT OPERATIONS FUNDING IN THIS YEAR'S BUDGET 6 7 THEY MET WITH BAY AREA DELEGATION SENATE ASSEMBLY LEADERSHIP 8 REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE SECRETARY OF CALIFORNIA STATE TRANSPORTATION AGENCY. WHILE UP THERE MET 9 WITH CALTRANS DIRECTOR TONY TAVARES TO DISCUSS STATE ROUTE 37. 10 MAY 11TH, 24 STAFF FROM OUR MTC LEADERSHIP ACADEMY VISITED 11 MARE ISLAND TO TOUR THE FACTORY OS FACILITY, A COMPANY WORKING 12 WITH THE NORTHERN CAL CARPENTERS UNION. LARGEST MACHINE SHOP 13 ON MARE ISLAND TALKING ABOUT 250,000 SQUARE FOOT EIGHT STORY 14 BUILDING THEY'RE DOING THIS IN WHERE THEY USED TO BUILD 15 16 SUBMARINES. FROM MAY 16TH TO 18th WE HOSTED THE CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION HERE AT BEALE 375 BEALE FOR TRAINING 17 18 WORKSHOP AND PUBLIC MEETINGS INTERCEPTION. ABAG BAY AREA 19 REGIONAL ENERGY NETWORK RECEIVED APPROVAL FROM THE STATE PUC FOR ITS BUSINESS PLAN ALONG WITH THE FUNDING COMMITMENT OF 20 21 \$171 MILLION OVER THE NEXT FOUR YEARS TO CARRY OUT ITS 22 SUCCESSFUL ENERGY AND WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAMS AND START 23 FOR NEW PROGRAMS INCLUDING YOUTH PROGRAM FOUNDATION TUESDAY A NUMBER OF US ARE GOING UP TO SACRAMENTO FOR THE CALIFORNIA 24 TRANSPORTATION FOUNDATION AWARDS DINNER WHERE OUR FORMER 25

JUNE 2, 2023

BRAD PAUL: OUR FOCUS IS WORKING ON WITH LOCAL TRANSIT PARTNERS



EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR THERESE M WILL RECEIVE THE FOUNDATION
 PERSON OF THE YEAR AWARD. THAT'S NEXT TUESDAY. THAT CONCLUDES
 MY REPORT.

4

5 ZACK WASSERMAN: SEEING NOS DISCUSSION OR QUESTIONS. THAT
6 BRINGS US TO OUR NEXT ITEM, REPORT BARC EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.
7

8 THERESA ROMMEL: THANK YOU VICE CHAIR WASSERMAN. SORRY I CAN'T BE THERE TODAY BECAUSE OF RESCHEDULING AND I HAD A TRIP 9 ALREADY PLANNED BUT I'M JOINING YOU FROM BOSTON. BUT JOSH WILL 10 PROVIDE THE REPORT ON THE REPORT. YOU MAY REMEMBER SOME OF YOU 11 NOT THERE WAS A YEAR LONG DESIGN CHALLENGE WE HAD IN THE BAY 12 AREA THAT DEVELOPED CONCEPTS IN NINE DIFFERENT COMMUNITIES 13 AROUND THE BAY TO ADDRESS TO DEVELOP MULTI-BENEFIT CLIMATE 14 15 ADAPTATION PROJECTS CELEBRATING FIVE YEAR ANNIVERSARY HARD TO 16 BELIEVE WE'RE GOING TO BE HOSTING AN EVENT AT THE BAY AREA METRO CENTER ON JULY 13TH FROM 5 TO 8:00 P.M. TO PRESENT A 17 TALK ABOUT PROGRESS THAT'S BEEN MADE ON VARIOUS PROJECTS 18 AROUND THE BAY AND JUST HAVE CONVERSATION ABOUT WHERE WE'RE AT 19 SINCE WE HAD THAT IMPACTFUL EFFORT FIVE YEARS AGO. I ALSO WANT 20 TO REPORT THAT I HOPE BARC HOSTS A CROSS AGENCY MEETING ONCE A 21 22 MONTH WITH STAFF FROM ALL AGENCIES PARTICIPATING THIS YEAR ON 23 CLIMATE ADAPTATION THEY HAVE BEEN SERVING AS A PROJECT MANAGEMENT TEAM FOR THE SYSTEMS MAPPING WHICH YOU WILL HEAR 24 ABOUT BUT I HAVE ALSO RECENTLY INVITED ARMY CORP STAFF TO 25



PARTICIPATE IN THAT MEETING AND THEY HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE 1 THAT'S NOW JOINING REGULARLY, WHICH I THINK IS ENCOURAGING AND 2 3 I THINK IT REALLY BUILDS OFF OF THE PROJECT WHICH STEVE MENTIONED, THE FUNDING AND THE WORK THAT BCDC AND MTC DID 4 5 TOGETHER ON THE SEA LEVEL RISE FUNDING INVESTMENT FRAMEWORK. BECAUSE A KEY NEXT STEP WE'RE WORKING ON IS THIS PIECE AROUND 6 HOW WE'RE GOING TO BRING IN BIG SOURCES OF FUNDS TO SUPPORT 7 8 PROJECTS AROUND THE BAY, WHERE DOES THAT MONEY LAND, AND WHAT IS OUR ARRANGEMENT AMONG OUR AGENCIES TO SUPPORT THAT WORK. 9 SO, WE'RE CONTINUING DOWN THAT PATH AND LOOK FORWARD TO 10 BRINGING MORE INFORMATION ARE TO YOU LATER ON AS WE EXPLORE 11 THAT. AND THEN I ALSO JUST -- THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF 12 PLANNING AND RESEARCH JUST ANNOUNCED THE FIRST RECIPIENTS, 13 ROUND ONE RECIPIENTS OF THEIR ADAPTATION PLANNING GRANT 14 PROGRAM. THAT'S \$8 MILLION THAT WENT OUT STATEWIDE THAT CAME 15 16 OUT OF THE GOVERNOR'S FISCAL YEAR '22 BUDGET, AND I KNOW THAT THERE ARE FOUR PROJECTS OUT OF THE BAY AREA THAT WERE FUNDED 17 FOR THAT. ONE IS IN SAN RAFAEL, CLIMATE ADAPTATION PLANNING 18 COLLABORATIVE THAT RECEIVED 644,000, AND SAN JOSE THEY 19 RECEIVED AN INTERDEPENDENT WATER ENERGY SECURITY ELECTRIFIED 20 21 TRANSPORTATION CLIMATE ADAPTATION PLANNING GRANT. THAT WAS AROUND 650,000. THE CITY OF BERKELEY RECEIVED A LARGE GRANT 22 ABOUT 500 FOR THE SAFETY PLAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENT IN 23 THEIR GENERAL PLAN, AND THEN SAN MATEO COUNTY RECEIVED A GRANT 24 25 TO DO A CLIMATE RESILIENCE FRAMEWORK. SO, THAT'S EXCITING. I



THINK THAT'S EXCITING TO HEAR THOSE PROJECTS MOVING FORWARD. 1 THERE IS A LOT OF GREAT ACTIVITY AROUND THE BAY, AROUND 2 3 ADAPTATION. AND I ALSO WANTED TO REPORT ON -- I HAVE BEEN SELECTED TO SERVE ON THE INTEGRATED CLIMATE ADAPTATION AND 4 5 RESILIENCY PROGRAM, THE ICAR PROGRAM TECHNICAL ADVISORY COUNCIL I HAD MY FIRST MEETING LAST MONTH AND WE LEARNED A LOT 6 AND HAD A PRESENTATION ON HEAT, AND WHILE HEAT IS NOT 7 8 RECOGNIZED AS SOMETHING THAT COULD BE DESIGNATED AS A DISASTER CURRENTLY IN THE FEDERAL PROGRAM, BUT IT'S ONE OF THE DEADLY 9 HAZARDS THAT COMMUNITIES EXPERIENCE AND IT'S SOMETHING THAT WE 10 WILL CERTAINLY LOOK AT AS WE'RE THINKING ABOUT OUR APPROACH TO 11 DEALING WITH DIFFERENTLY HAZARDS AROUND THE BAY. SO THAT'S MY 12 UPDATE FOR YOU, AND I GUESS WE CAN MOVE ON, CHAIR, TO THE NEXT 13 14 ITEM. UNLESS ANYONE HAS ANY QUESTIONS.

15

16 CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ARE THERE ANY
17 QUESTIONS FROM BOARD MEMBERS ON THE REPORT FROM THE EXECUTIVE
18 DIRECTOR? ANY BOARD MEMBERS ON ZOOM? OKAY. IF NOT, WE CAN
19 PROCEED TO THE NEXT AGENDA ITEM WHICH IS ITEM SEVEN. 7A, A
20 PRESENTATION DISCUSSION, A PRECISELY SYSTEMS MAPS AND EMERGING
21 QUESTIONS. THIS IS AN INFORMATION ITEM, AND ALLISON, WOULD YOU
22 LIKE TO INTRODUCE THIS ITEM?

23

ALLISON BROOKS: I'M GOING TO HAND IT OVER TO JOSH BRADT WHO ISIN THE ROOM WITH YOU. THANK YOU, CHAIR.

This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.



1

JUNE 2, 2023

JOSH BRADT: THANK YOU ALLISON. GOOD MORNING GOVERNING BOARD 2 3 MEMBERS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR BEING HERE TODAY, EVERYBODY WHO IS HERE IN-PERSON AND ONLINE. IT'S A SHORTER MEETING SO 4 5 I'LL KEEP THIS PROJECT INTRODUCTION PRETTY BRIEF AND THEN I'LL TURN IT OVER TO SHELLEY JIANG OF THE CONSULTANT TEAM TO WALK 6 7 US THROUGH THE PROJECT AND GIVE A PREVIEW OF THE EMERGING 8 THEMES AND QUESTIONS THAT ARE COMING OUT. THEY WILL BE RETURNING FOR THE NEXT GOVERNING BOARD MEETING, A LONGER ONE, 9 10 AND PROVIDE A REAL IN-DEPTH PRESENTATION. SO, AS BACKGROUND, BARC SHARED WORK PLAN WHICHEVER APPROVED IN MAY 2022 11 IDENTIFIES CLIMATE ADAPTATION PLANS AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE 12 AS PRIMARY FOCUS AREAS FOR REGIONAL COLLABORATION. MORE 13 SPECIFICALLY WE'RE EXPLORING THE DEVELOPMENT OF A REGIONAL 14 MULTI-HAZARD ADAPTATION PLAN AS MUTUAL FRAMEWORK THAT ALIGNS 15 16 THE PLANNING AND REGULATORY EFFORTS OF THE REGIONAL ENTITIES THAT MAKE UP BARC THAT BEST POSITIONS THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY 17 AREA FOR STATE AND FEDERAL FUNDING AND FACILITATES EQUITABLE 18 AND EFFECTIVE SUBREGIONAL, LOCAL, AND COMMUNITY HAZARD 19 PLANNING ALONG WITH TANGIBLE ACTIONS AND IMPLEMENTATION. THERE 20 21 IS TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE THAT IS PROVIDED, PROVIDED IN VARIOUS FORMATS BY REGIONAL AGENCIES BUT THE QUESTION IS ARE LOCAL 22 USERS ABLE TO ACCESS TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE THAT THEY NEED. 23 FIRST STEP IN THIS JOURNEY IS TO BETTER UNDERSTAND WHO IS 24 25 DOING WHAT MEANING WHAT ARE THE ROLES, RESPONSIBILITIES AND



ACTIVITIES OF THE VARIOUS REGIONAL AGENCIES IN ADDRESSING THE 1 VARIES CLIMATE RELATED HAZARDS IN OUR REGION. HOW DO THESE 2 3 ROLES AND ACTIVITIES INTERSECT AMONG THE AGENCIES AND THEN INTERACT WITH OTHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT. WHERE AND WHAT ARE 4 5 THE GAPS REDUNDANCIES AND CONFLICTS AMONG THE AGENCIES THAT NEED RESOLUTION. THIS IS WHERE THE SYSTEMS MAPPING AND 6 ANALYSIS PROJECT COMES IN. AND I WILL TURN IT OVER TO SHELLEY 7 8 NOW TO WALK US THROUGH THE EFFORT SO FAR AND, AGAIN, WE'LL GET MUCH MORE INTO THE WEEDS AT THE NEXT MEETING. THANK YOU. 9 10 SHELLEY?

11

SHELLEY JIANG: THANK YOU, JOSH, FOR THE INTRODUCTION. I JUST I ACTUALLY -- I DO NOT HAVE PERMISSION TO SHARE MY SCREEN
RIGHT NOW.

15

16 JOSH BRADT: THERE WE GO. WE'RE SHARING FOR YOU.

17

SHELLEY JIANG: OKAY GREAT. THANK YOU. WE ACTUALLY HAVE A 18 SLIGHTLY DIFFERENTLY VERSION OF THE PRESENTATION BECAUSE OF 19 THE CHANGES MADE. BUT, BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT THIS IS ONLY A 20 21 15 MINUTE PRESENTATION, INSTEAD OF THE 45 MINUTES THAT WE HAD ORIGINALLY PLANNED. SO, I MAY HAVE TO ASK YOU TO SKIP SLIDES 22 MORE FREQUENTLY. MY NAME IS SHELLEY JIANG WITH AECOM AND WITH 23 ME IS [INDISCERNIBLE] PART OF THE CONSULTANT TEAM THAT IS 24 SUPPORTING BARC ON THIS SYSTEMS MAP OF LANDSCAPE CLIMATE 25



ADAPTATION ACTIVITIES IN THE BAY AREA. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. WE 1 ARE HERE TO PRESENT TO YOU TODAY A BRIEF UPDATE ON THE INTERIM 2 3 PROGRESS FROM OUR PROJECT. AS WE HAVE CONCLUDED THE RESEARCH AND ENGAGEMENT PHASE AND ARE NOW MOVING ON TO THE RESEARCH 4 5 WRITING PHASE. OUR TEAM CAME AND PRESENTED IN JANUARY AND WE HOPE TO BE BACK IN JULY FOR A MORE IN-DEPTH DIVE INTO THE 6 OUTCOMES AND RESULTS FROM OUR PROJECT. TODAY BECAUSE OF THE 7 8 SHORTENED AGENDA I'M GOING TO GO OVER PROJECT OBJECTIVES AND APPROACH AND I WOULD SHARE AN EXAMPLE OF OUR WORK AND PROVIDE 9 10 NEXT STEPS. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. NEXT. OKAY. NEXT. SORRY. NEXT. ALL RIGHT. SO, THE GOAL OF OUR PROJECT IS REALLY TO MAP OUT 11 THE LANDSCAPE OF ADAPTATION ACTIVITIES. AND AS YOU HEARD, THE 12 MOTIVATION WAS THE FEEDBACK INTO THE BARC WORK PLAN THAT WOULD 13 BE VERY HELPFUL FOR US TO UNDERSTAND WHAT EACH OF THE BARC 14 15 AGENCIES ARE DOING ON CLIMATE ADAPTATION AND HAVE IT ALL IN 16 ONE PLACE. THE GOAL IS TO DEVELOP A RESOURCE AND FOUNDATION THAT WOULD ALLOW US TO LAY THE GROUNDWORK FOR ENHANCED AND 17 STRONGER MORE COLLABORATIVE REGIONAL ADAPTATION WORK: THE 18 OUTCOMES WILL IDEALLY HELP AGENCIES TO BETTER COORDINATE TO 19 HAVE A BETTER IMPACT ON ADAPTATION SUPPORT, STRONGER 20 21 ADAPTATION OUTCOMES, SUPPORT MORE COMMUNITY RESILIENCE AND COMMUNITY EQUITY AND HELP BARC TO REALLY UNDERSTAND THE ROLE 22 23 THAT IT CAN PLAY IN REGIONAL CLIMATE ADAPTATION. AND THE FIRST STEP IS TO DEVELOP THIS MAP OF WHAT AGENCIES ARE DOING NOW, 24 25 WHO IS INVOLVED, HOW THE BARC MEMBER AGENCIES ARE ADDRESSING



ADAPTATION THROUGH THEIR DIFFERENT ROLES AND THEIR AUTHORITIES 1 2 AND THEIR RELATIONSHIPS TO OTHER STAKEHOLDERS, BOTH AT THE 3 LOCAL LEVEL AND AT THE STATE LEVEL. WE ALSO WANTED TO UNDERSTAND HOW BARC AGENCIES ARE STARTING TO SHIFT THEIR 4 5 PROGRAM AND ACTIVITIES TO ADDRESS ADAPTATION. IT WAS NOT IN MANY OF THEIR MISSIONS AND MANDATES BEFORE. AND AS JOSH 6 7 MENTIONED WE WANT TO LOOK AT TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO 8 UNDERSTAND THE TYPES OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE THAT ARE MORE EFFECTIVE, WHAT LOCAL AGENCIES NEED, WHAT COMMUNITY-BASED 9 ORGANIZATIONS NEED WHEN IT COMES TO TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO 10 HELP THEM UNDERSTAND CLIMATE HAZARDS, WHICH IS A PRETTY 11 TECHNICAL TOPIC. ANALYSIS HASN'T BEEN DONE AT THE REGIONAL 12 LEVEL AND WE'RE UNCOVERING GAPS IN OPPORTUNITIES THAT CAN HELP 13 FRAME DISCUSSIONS OF NEXT STEPS FOR THE REGION TO UNDERSTAND 14 15 HAZARDS AND ADDRESSING HAZARDS AND LAY GROUND WORKS FOR THE 16 EXPLORATION OF THE REGIONAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN. NEXT SLIDE. A CORE PART OF OUR PROJECT HAS BEEN ENGAGEMENT WITH 17 BARC MEMBERS AGENCIES WE HAVE WORKED TOGETHER WITH THE BARC 18 19 STAFF THROUGH THE THIS PROJECT. AS PART OF THE PROJECT MANAGEMENT TEAM, THE BARC TEAM PROVIDED PROJECT DIRECTION AND 20 FEEDBACK THROUGHOUT THE COURSE OF THIS PROJECT. WE HAVE 21 22 PRESENTED TO BARC STAFF DURING THESE PROJECT MANAGEMENT TEAM 23 MEETINGS SEVERAL TIMES TO MAKE SURE THAT PROGRESS IS GOING BASED ON THEIR DIRECTION AND FEEDBACK. WE ALSO CONDUCTED 24 SEVERAL ROUNDS OF INTERVIEWS WITH BARC MEMBER AGENCY STAFF TO 25



MAKE SURE THAT WE REALLY UNDERSTAND THEIR PROGRAMS, THEIR 1 POLICIES, THEIR PRIORITIES, AND THEIR NEEDS AND CHALLENGES. WE 2 3 ARE REALLY THANKFUL FOR THE BARC STAFFS MEMBER AGENCY STAFF FOR THEIR ENTHUSIASM AND DEDICATION TO THIS PROGRAM. SO WE 4 5 TOOK ALL OF THE INTERVIEW FINDINGS AND USED THAT TO DEVELOP THESE INITIAL SYSTEM MAPS AND RETURNED TO THE BARC MEMBER 6 AGENCIES FOR ANOTHER RUINED OF REVIEW TO MAKE SURE WE GOT 7 8 THOSE AGENCY MAPS CORRECT AND DEVELOPED HAZARD MAPS TO SHOW REGIONAL INTERACTION AROUND THESE HAZARDS AND ALSO WORKING 9 WITH THE BAY AREA CLIMATE ADAPTATION NETWORK BAY CAN WE HELD A 10 SERIES OF FOCUS GROUPS AND SURVEYS AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT 11 COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS AND OTHER TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE 12 RECEIVERS TO UNDERSTAND WHAT KINDS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE IS 13 AVAILABLE AND WHAT'S EFFECTIVE AND WHAT'S NOT. THAT'S ANOTHER 14 PART OF OUR OUTREACH. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. FINALLY WE CONDUCTED 15 16 SEVERAL ROUNDS OF INTERVIEWS WITH DIFFERENT FEDERAL AND STATE AGENCIES TO UNDERSTAND HOW THEY WORK WITH BARC MEMBER AGENCIES 17 IN THE BAY AREA, AS WELL AS LOCAL AGENCIES, HOW THEY SEE THE 18 STATE OF ADAPTATION IN THE BAY AREA AND WHAT THEY SEE AS GAPS 19 AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE BAY AREA. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. SO, AS 20 WE'RE WRAPPING UP THIS STAGE OF WORK, HERE ARE KEY FINDINGS 21 AND PROGRESS TO DATE. WE DOCUMENTED AND CATALOGED CLIMATE 22 ADAPTATION ACTIVITIES THAT ARE BEING UNDERTAKEN BY REGIONAL, 23 STATE, AND FEDERAL AGENCIES AND CATEGORIZED THEM ACCORDING TO 24 25 THE CATEGORIES YOU SEE ON THE LEFT COLUMN, ON THE Y AXIS HERE,



BUT WHETHER FACILITATION, RESEARCH, PLANNING, FINANCING, 1 FORMALIZING, AND IMPLEMENTATION. WHAT WE FOUND IS THAT MANY. 2 3 ACTIONS ARE IN THE FACILITATION, RESEARCH, AND PLANNING PHASE AND THERE ARE RELATIVELY FEWER ACTIONS AROUND FINANCING, 4 5 FORMALIZING AND IMPLEMENTATION. SO THIS, TO US, IS A GAP IN WHICH WE NEED TO COLLECTIVELY BE ABLE TO MOVE FROM PLANNING 6 AND RESEARCHING TOWARDS IMPLEMENTATION AND FUNDING. WE ALSO 7 8 CATALOGED AND DIAGRAMMED EACH BARC MEMBERS AGENCIES GUIDING STRATEGIES AND PROGRAMS AND RELATIONSHIPS AS PART OF THIS. 9 10 NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. I THINK BECAUSE OF THE SHORTENED TIME WE'RE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO MOVE INTO PLATICA TO REVIEW THE 11 MAPS. BUT I WANT TO SAY A FEW KEY THINGS. NEXT SLIDE. THE 12 SYSTEM MAPS ARE A ROADMAP AND VISUALIZATION OF THE DIFFERENT 13 HAZARDS AND DIFFERENT STAKEHOLDER THAT IS ACTING IN EACH 14 15 HAZARD AREA. FOR EXAMPLE, WE HAVE -- WE CAN USE SYSTEMS MAP TO 16 SEE HAZARDS WHERE THERE IS COLLABORATION AND GREATER NETWORKS, STAKEHOLDERS ACTIVE AT THE STATE REGIONAL AND LOCAL LEVEL WE 17 CAN USE MAPS TO SEE AREAS WHERE THERE IS ACTION, LORE DEGREES 18 OF COORDINATION, AND NOT AS MUCH INTERACTION, DIFFERENT 19 STAKEHOLDER SYSTEMS MAPS DESIGNED FOR RELATIONSHIPS WHETHER 20 21 THEY'RE FUNDING RELATIONSHIPS PARTNERSHIP COORDINATION AND 22 RELATIONSHIPS HIGHLIGHTING ACTION PROGRAMS DEPLOYMENT PROGRAMS 23 IMPLEMENTATION TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND PERMITTING AND REGULATIONS. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. ANOTHER 24 25 PART OF OUR PLANNING ANALYSIS, WE FOUND THAT DIFFERENT LEVELS

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.



OF INTERACTION BY HAZARD. WE FOUND OUT A LOT OF BARC MEMBERS 1 AGENCIES ARE ACTIVE IN THE TOPICS OF COASTAL FLOODING 2 3 OTHERWISE KNOWN AS SEA LEVEL RISE AND INLAND FLOODING THERE IS COORDINATION AND COLLABORATION BETWEEN AGENCY WITH EACH OTHER 4 5 AND LOCAL AGENCIES WITH THESE HAZARDS. COMPARATIVELY THERE WAS FEWER LEVELS OF REGIONAL COORDINATION AROUND WILDFIRE HEAT AND 6 7 DROUGHT BETWEEN REGIONAL AGENCIES WITH EACH OTHER AND LOCAL 8 AGENCIES WITH THERE STATE COUNTERPARTS THIS IS A GAP THAT 9 HIGHLIGHTS HAZARDS RIGHT NOW BEING ADDRESSED AT THE THROUGH LEVEL IN THE BAY AREA WHILE OTHERS ARE NOT. I THINK RIGHT NOW 10 11 I WOULD LIKE TO CONCLUDE THIS PRESENTATION. WITHOUT BEING ABLE TO SHARE AN EXAMPLE OF THE SYSTEMS MAP BECAUSE WHAT IS WHAT WE 12 PLANNED FOR THE TIME ALLOTTED TODAY. UNFORTUNATELY, THIS IS AN 13 EARLIER VERSION OF OUR PRESENTATION FOR THE MAY 19TH BOARD 14 MEETING AND IT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SCREENSHOT OF THE SYSTEMS 15 16 MAP THAT WE PULLED OUT. I WANT TO ADD THAT FOR NEXT STEPS WE ARE WORKING WITH THE BARC PMT AND WITH BARC TO DEVELOP A DRAFT 17 SYSTEMS MAP REPORT. THIS REPORT WILL BE PROVIDED TO BARC FOR 18 19 FEEDBACK BY THE END OF JUNE AND THEN WE WILL BE FINALIZING THE REPORT AND RETURNING TO THE BARK GOVERNING BOARD FOR A 20 PRESENTATION IN JULY WHERE WE WILL BE ABLE TO HOPEFULLY SHOW 21 22 YOU ALL OF THE SYSTEMS MAPS THAT WE HAVE CREATED AND BE ABLE 23 TO EXPLORE THEM WITH YOU IN DETAIL. APOLOGIES FOR THE 24 TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES. THANK YOU.

25



1 JOSH BRADT: THANK YOU, SHELLEY.

2

3 CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: I THINK AT THE END OF THE PRESENTATION,
4 THERE WERE LINKS TO THE MAPS, IF I'M NOT MISTAKEN. SO, I THINK
5 MEMBERS CAN PULL THAT UP. IT'S ON PAGE 42 OF THE PACKET. SO,
6 BUT, JOSH IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE YOU WANTED TO ADD BEFORE WE
7 OPEN UP TO ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

8

JOSH BRADT: NO. JUST THAT, YOU KNOW, FEEL FREE TO ACCESS THOSE 9 10 LINKS AND TAKE A LOOK ON YOUR OWN SO YOU CAN JUST, SORT OF, SEE THE COMPLEXITY OF THE INTERACTIONS OF -- BY THE CASE 11 STUDIES THAT ARE AVAILABLE, AND THEN, ALSO, JUST LOOKING AT 12 THE AGENCIES THAT MAYBE YOU'RE ASSOCIATED WITH, BECAUSE THEY 13 EACH HAVE AN INDIVIDUAL MAP, AS WELL, THAT SHOWS WHAT THEIR 14 15 BASIC ACTIVITIES ARE, WHO ARE THEIR PARTNERS, WHERE DO THEY 16 GET THEIR FUND SUPPORTING THEIR MANDATES. SO, YOU KNOW, AGAIN, THIS IS ALL GETTING CONSOLIDATED. THE MAPS ARE -- IT TAKES A 17 BIT OF EXPERTISE TO READ, AND THAT IS WHY WE ARE NOW TURNING 18 MORE TOWARDS THIS NARRATIVE COMPONENT AND REPORTING COMPONENT 19 THAT IS GOING TO REALLY HELP FOLKS TEASE OUT AND SEE WHAT THE 20 LESSONS ARE BEING LEARNED BY THESE MAPS BECAUSE THEY DON'T 21 22 JUST CLEARLY JUMP OUT TO YOU SOMETIMES.

23



CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: AND JOSH, ON SLIDE -- WHAT IS IT? PAGE 1 2 38, THE QUESTIONS TO CONSIDER FOR REGIONAL MULTI-HAZARD 3 ADAPTATION PLANNING. 4 5 JOSH BRADT: YES? 6 7 CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: DO YOU WANT TO GO OVER THAT OR ARE WE 8 GOING TO REVISIT THAT IN THE FUTURE. 9 JOSH BRADT: WE WILL DEFINITELY BE REVISITING THESE ARE, SORT 10 OF, PLACEHOLDERS FOR LONGER DEEPER CONVERSATIONS THAT NEED TO 11 BE HAD AMONGST THE AGENCIES, DIRECTORS AND STAFF ON, YOU KNOW, 12 REALLY SOME OF THE CONSTRAINTS AND CHALLENGES. 13 14 CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: OKAY. YEAH, I MEAN SOME OF THE 15 16 QUESTIONS, SHOULD THERE BE REGIONAL COORDINATION ISSUED FOR EVERY CLIMATE HAZARD OR CAN REGIONAL AGENCIES BRING THEIR BEST 17 VALUE IN ADVANCING ADAPTATION, HOW DO WE BALANCE THE 18 TENSIONING BETWEEN NEEDING TO SCALE UP AND MOVE QUICKLY, AND 19 THEN THE NEED FOR INCLUSIVE COMMUNITY-BASED PROCESS AND 20 ADDRESSING THE ISSUES OF EQUITY. I THINK THOSE ARE ALL VERY 21 22 GOOD QUESTIONS FOR US TO CONSIDER, CERTAINLY. 23 JOSH BRADT: YES. YES. I MEAN, THOSE ARE THE CRUX OF THE 24 MATTER. 25



Regional Collaborative Meeting Transcript

JUNE 2, 2023

1 2 CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: OKAY. DOES THAT COMPLETE THE 3 PRESENTATION? 4 5 JOSH BRADT: THAT DOES COMPLETE TODAY'S PRESENTATION. 6 7 CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND THANK YOU FOR 8 KEEPING US UPDATED ABOUT THIS IMPORTANT WORK. SO WE'LL UP FOR 9 OUESTIONS OR COMMENTS. COMMISSIONER SPERING SOMETHING 10 JAMES SPERING: ON ONE OF THE SUBSTANTIAL COORDINATION PROCESS 11 CHECKLIST WE CAN GIVE LOCAL JURISDICTIONS ISSUES CRITERIA THAT 12 WE COULD RECOMMEND THEY FOLLOW AS THEY GO THROUGH THEIR 13 PLANNING PROCESS ARE WE GOING TO HAVE TOOLS LIKE THAT IN THIS 14 15 PROCESS. 16 JOSH BRADT: I DON'T THINK THERE WILL BE TOOLS LIKE THAT AT THE 17 18 END OF THIS ANALYSIS YOU KNOW THIS ANALYSIS ACTUALLY RAISING TYPES OF ISSUES THAT WOULD BE POST ANALYSIS STEPS TO TAKE AND 19 DISCUSSIONS TO BE HAD ABOUT HIGHEST PRIORITIES FOR HELPING 20 21 LOCALS BUILD THIS FRAMEWORK AND SOME OF THOSE MATTERS CAN 22 ATTEND THROUGH THE REGIONAL MULTI-HAZARD ADAPTATION PLAN. 23 SPEAKER: WE NEED TO PUT ATTENTION INTO HOW WE COMMUNICATE WITH 24 THOSE JURISDICTIONS AND HOW WE MAKE THEM AWARE OF THESE 25

> This transcript was prepared from television closed captioning and is not certified for its form or content. Errors and omissions within this transcript have likely occurred. This document is provided as a convenience only and is not an official record of any action taken.



1

JUNE 2, 2023

ISSUES. AND AS THEY DO THEIR PLANNING THAT INCORPORATES SOME

2 OF THESE STRATEGIES IN THAT PLANNING. SO, I THINK THAT'S A 3 VERY IMPORTANT PIECE. BECAUSE AS YOU LOOK AT A LOT OF THESE THINGS WE DON'T CONNECT THE LOCAL JURISDICTIONS WELL ENOUGH. 4 5 AND SO HOPEFULLY THAT WILL BE SOMETHING THAT CAN BE CONSIDERS. THANK YOU. 6 7 8 JOSH BRADT: UNDERSTOOD. THANK YOU. 9 CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: VICE CHAIR WASSERMAN? 10 11 V. CHAIR, ZACH WASSERAN: THANK YOU. A COUPLE OF COMMENTS. ONE 12 IS FOLLOW-UP ON MR. SPERING'S COMMENT. BARC PROBABLY WILL NOT 13 HAVE THE RESOURCES TO DO THE VERY NECESSARY THING THAT HE 14 TALKED ABOUT BUT I THINK IT MAY BE APPROPRIATE TO INCLUDE AS 15 16 PART OF THOSE MAPS AT THE END FOR EACH OF OUR AGENCIES WHAT THEY ARE DOING IN THAT REGARD. THERE IS AN ISSUE OF 17 COORDINATION I APPRECIATE. BUT EACH OF US IN THE VARIOUS 18 HAZARD AREAS, WITH OUR FOCUS AND EXPERTISE THAT WE BRING NEED 19 TO DO THAT AND I THINK IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO BE PART OF 20 21 THAT. SECOND, SECOND IS SIMPLY A THANK YOU FOR THIS WHOLE 22 EFFORT. AND WE ALL NEED TO RECOGNIZE THIS IS AN ITERATIVE 23 EFFORT. I KNOW THAT AT THE BCDC FOCUS WE -- I HAVE BEEN WORKING ON VERSIONS OF THIS FOR THE LAST 11-PLUS YEARS. AND IT 24 DOESN'T BECOME SIMPLER. BUT IT IS OF INCREASING IMPORTANCE AS 25



THE ISSUES WE FACE BECOME INCREASINGLY INTENSE. ON THE 1 2 QUESTIONS THAT CHAIR ARREGUIN RAISED, I THINK THERE ARE A 3 COUPLE OF OTHER TENSIONS THAT NEED TO BE ADDRESSED IN THAT THIRD OUESTION. ONE OF THEM IS FUNDING. I MEAN, PLAIN AND 4 5 SIMPLE. AND IT'S, FRANKLY, BOTH FUNDING FOR THE SECOND BULLET, BUT IT'S ALSO FUNDING FOR OUR AGENCIES TO DO THIS WORK, AND 6 THERE IS SIMPLY NOT ENOUGH FOR, I THINK, ANY OF US IN 7 8 ACCOMPLISHING THE GOALS THAT THIS MAPPING IS LOOKING AT. BUT, AGAIN, I THINK THE EFFORT IS VERY HELPFUL AND VERY IMPORTANT. 9 AND I DID LOOK AT THE BCDC ONE AT THE END AND IT IS HELPFUL AS 10 WELL. THANK YOU. 11

12

CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: THANK YOU. ARE THERE ANY OTHER 13 QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS ON THE PRESENTATION? ANYONE ON ZOOM? 14 15 OKAY. IF NOT, TO BE REVISITED AT A FUTURE MEETING. AND I 16 APPRECIATE IT BRINGS ELEMENTS. BIGGER WORK PLAN TO US, IN PIECES THAT WE CAN PROVIDE FEEDBACK AS IT MOVES FORWARD. AND 17 ENCOURAGE EVERYONE TO TAKE A LOOK AT THE MAPS IN THE INTERIM 18 AND WE'LL HAVE, I THINK, A FOLLOW UP CONVERSATION TO TACKLE 19 SOME OF THE BIGGER OUESTIONS ONCE WE HAVE A MORE COMPLETE SET 20 21 OF MAPPING. OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO, THANK YOU, SHELLEY, AS WELL. AND WITH THAT, WE'LL PROCEED TO THE NEXT AGENDA ITEM 22 WHICH IS PUBLIC COMMENT ON NON-AGENDA MATTERS. IS THERE ANY 23 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC HERE IN THE BOARDROOM OR ON ZOOM WHO 24 WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK TO AN ITEM THAT'S NOT ON THIS MORNING'S 25



1 AGENDA? I DON'T SEE ANY MEMBERS HERE IN THE BOARDROOM OR ON 2 ZOOM. UNFORTUNATELY WE DID NOT ESTABLISH QUORUM, SO WE WILL 3 HAVE TO CARRY OVER THOSE ACTION ITEMS TO OUR NEXT MEETING. AND 4 BOTH ALLISON AND I WILL FOLLOW UP WITH SOME OF THE MEMBERS TO 5 ENSURE THAT WE HAVE FULL PARTICIPATION. VICE CHAIR WASSERMAN? 6

V. CHAIR, ZACH WASSERAN: THANK YOU. SOMETHING THAT I COULD 7 8 HAVE PUT IN THE BCDC REPORT AND I JUST FORGOT. THERE IS ANOTHER VERY IMPORTANT MEASURE IN THE LEGISLATURE, SB272 OF 9 THE LAIRD BILL WHICH HAS PASSED THE SENATE AND IS GOING TO THE 10 ASSEMBLY AND I AM SURE WILL PASS THE ASSEMBLY IT'S SIMILAR TO 11 A BILL THAT WAS PASSED IN THE LAST SESSION. IT DIRECTS BCDC TO 12 DEVELOP GUIDELINES FOR LOCAL JURISDICTIONS. IT DOES NOT HAVE 13 STICKS IN IT. THERE ISN'T A REQUIREMENT OR PENALTIES FOR NOT 14 COMPLYING. THERE ARE SOME CARROTS. IT IS A VERY PERSONALITY 15 16 BILL IN MOVING THE GUIDANCE FORWARD IN ACCOMPLISHING THE COMMUNICATION TO THE LOCAL JURISDICTIONS. THE GOVERNOR VETOED 17 IT LAST TIME FOR REASONS THAT ARE NOT ENTIRELY CLEAR, BUT 18 BECAUSE NOBODY EXPECTED THAT THERE WASN'T A WHOLE LOT OF 19 EFFORT THAT GETS SUPPORT FOR IT. SO, WE ARE REQUESTING THAT IF 20 YOUR JURISDICTIONS HAVE NOT TAKEN UP SB272, WE ASK YOU TO DO 21 22 SO AND TO SUPPORT IT SO THAT THE GOVERNOR UNDERSTANDS THIS IS 23 A VERY IMPORTANT BILL FOR THE BAY AREA. THANK YOU.



1 CHAIR, JESSE ARREGUIN: THANK YOU. I WANT TO THANK EVERYONE FOR 2 COMING TODAY. AND OUR NEXT MEETING IS IN JULY. AND, SO, WE'LL MAKE SURE THAT WE CALENDAR THE ACTION ITEMS FOR ACTION AS WELL 3 AS ENSURE THAT WE HAVE FULL PARTICIPATION, SO WE CAN TAKE UP 4 THAT BUSINESS AT THAT MEETING. BUT THANK YOU ALL FOR BEING 5 HERE TAKING THE TIME AND WITH THAT THIS MEETING IS ADJOURNED. 6 THANK YOU. [ADJOURNED] 7 8 9



Bay Area Regional Collaborative

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	23-0991	Version:	1	Name:	
Туре:	Report			Status:	Informational
File created:	7/11/2023			In control:	Bay Area Regional Collaborative
On agenda:				Final action:	
Title:	Presentation of and Discussion of Ounce of Prevention: Advancing Equitable Climate Resilience Planning Report				
Sponsors:					
Indexes:					
Code sections:					
Attachments:	Item 8. a Ounce of Prevention PPT 7-3-2023.pdf				
Date	Ver. Action By			Actio	n Result

Subject:

Presentation of and Discussion of Ounce of Prevention: Advancing Equitable Climate Resilience Planning Report

Presenter:

Kristopher Eclarino, Technical Project Manager, Climate Resolve Michael McCormick, President, Farallon Strategies Christina Oraftik, Project Associate, Farallon Strategies

Recommended Action:

Information






Ounce of Prevention: Advancing Equitable Climate Resilience Planning California



How are California cities preparing for climate change?

This report reviews the compliance mechanism of SB 379 and how municipalities responded.



Project Team







Jonathan Parfrey




Kristopher Eclarino



Michael McCormick








Christina Oraftik


This work was made possible by the generous contributions of Resources Legacy Fund



SB379 - Addressing Climate Change Impacts in California








Findings & Conclusions




Have jurisdictions complied with SB 379?

- Only half of California Jurisdictions have updated their Safety Elements to address climate change
- Most non-compliant jurisdictions lacked the financial and staff resources to engage in planning





Who Has Completed Assessments to Date - Cities vs Counties?



Data Accessed 8/22



What climate planning approach proved most effective?

- Stand-alone adaptation plans are detailed and have potential to lead to transformative implementation projects
- LHMP updates are cost efficient approach for SB379 compliance but have limitations
- Updated to General Plans are more effective
- Forming trusted relationships with community leaders is important to integrating community values into climate adaptation planning






Types of Resilience Plans






Climate Adaptation and Resilience - Cascading Policy



Farallon Climate Strategies Resolve

Real World Complexity in Addressing Climate Adaptation





Have jurisdictions implemented their climate resilience plans?



- Capacity and institutional continuity are huge concerns across state, local govt, nonprofits, consultancies, and academia to act on the work that is needed to establish policy, and then implement that policy.
- Too soon to tell if SB 379 will effectively create new implementation programs



What has been the role of regional agencies and consultants in facilitating local compliance?

- Regional climate planning efforts have not been embraced by local jurisdictions
- Disconnect between high-level regional policies and the development of local programs and projects
- Technical assistance programs helped municipalities, but more help is needed
- Consultants who developed regional plans used them to inform local plans
- Trade-offs in municipalities contracting with consultants to prepare plans





Project Team Recommendations



Recommendations - the Big 4! 1. Address the Crisis of Capacity

- Invest in education and workforce development and retention across all sectors
- 2. Amend legislation to allow reference to regional vulnerability assessment
- 3. Provide block grants or sustainable funding
- 4. Support existing climate collaboratives and TA programs





Recommendations - the Big 4! 2. Create Efficiencies of Scale

- 1. Distribute adaptation planning work appropriately between local and regional level
- 2. Provide specific plan alignment guidance
- 3. Develop effective partnership models (CBOs)
- 4. Designate review authority of SB379 compliance to OPR





Recommendations - the Big 4! 3. Fund Climate Planning at the Local Level

- 1. Renew OPR's Adaptation Planning Grant Programs
- 2. Allow flexibility in funding toward local climate planning
- Renew funding for SGC's BOOST program and support additional TA programs
- 4. Leverage private philanthropy







Build • Organize • Optimize • Strengthen • Transform



Recommendations - the Big 4! 4. Encourage Robust Climate Adaptation Plans

- 1. Large jurisdictions counties and cities with populations greater than 150,000 people should develop a standalone climate adaptation plan
- Mid-sized jurisdictions with populations ranging from 80,000-150,000 should update their general plan to account for climate impacts as well as update their LHMP
- Small jurisdictions with fewer than 80,000 people should utilize external resources provided by their county or COG, technical assistance programs, or pool efforts with nearby jurisdictions to comply with SB 379 via LHMP integration approach
- 4. Regional plans may prove helpful in the future.



